



Editorial

Christophe J. Godlewski

IN **FINANCE** 2025/3 Vol. 46 , PAGES 5 TO 6

PUBLISHER **ASSOCIATION FRANÇAISE DE FINANCE**

ISSN 0752-6180

DOI 10.3917/e.fina.463.0005

Uploaded: 11/17/2025

Article available online at

<https://shs.cairn.info/journal-finance-2025-3-page-5?lang=en>



Discover the contents of this issue, follow the journal by email, subscribe...
Scan this QR code to access the page for this issue on Cairn.info.



Electronic distribution Cairn.info for Association Française de Finance.

You are authorized to reproduce this article within the limits of the terms of use of Cairn.info or, where applicable, the terms and conditions of the license subscribed to by your institution. Details and conditions can be found at cairn.info/copyright.

Unless otherwise provided by law, the digital use of these resources for educational purposes is subject to authorization by the Publisher or, where applicable, by the collective management organization authorized for this purpose. This is particularly the case in France with the CFC, which is the approved organization in this area.

Editorial

The four papers featured in this issue of *Revue Finance* offer compelling insights into how corporate finance decisions interact with broader institutional, cultural, and informational environments.

David and Moussu's analysis of debt and wages reveals a fundamental tension at the heart of corporate finance. Their finding that labor regulation moderates the negative relationship between corporate debt and employee compensation challenges us to think beyond the simple debt-equity trade-off. When workers face weaker employment protection, debt becomes a more powerful tool for extracting concessions from labor. This insight has profound implications for understanding how financial decisions distribute value among stakeholders and suggests that optimal capital structure may depend critically on the institutional environment governing labor relations.

The study by Hamelin, Lefebvre, and Weill on business groups provides a fresh perspective on organizational boundaries. Their evidence that higher societal trust reduces the likelihood of group affiliation supports transaction cost theory while highlighting the role of informal institutions. In high-trust environments, arm's-length contracting becomes more viable, reducing the need for hierarchical solutions to coordination problems. This finding suggests that the prevalence of business groups, a dominant organizational form in many economies, may reflect institutional deficiencies rather than efficiency advantages.

Dubois, Sami, and Ureche-Rangau's examination of market reactions to female executive appointments demonstrates how cultural factors shape investor perceptions of corporate governance changes. Their evidence that markets respond more favorably to female appointments in collectivist, future-oriented, and human-centered cultures reveals the deep embeddedness of financial markets in cultural contexts. This research challenges the universality of diversity premiums and suggests that the business case for gender diversity may be contingent on cultural alignment.

Finally, Kazmi and Dumontier's investigation of analyst behavior during seasoned equity offerings illuminates the heterogeneity within the financial intermediary sector. By distinguishing between accuracy-focused and informativeness-focused analysts, they reveal how information production strategies vary systematically with incentive structures. Their finding

that informative analysts adjust forecasts more aggressively when earnings management is suspected provides important evidence about the quality of information intermediation in capital markets.

This issue reflects the collective dedication of our contributors, associate editors, and reviewers, to whom I am deeply indebted for their commitment to excellence.

Christophe Godlewski